

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,166	ZHANG, TING-DONG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN PAK	1616	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN PAK.

(2) TOD KUPSTAS.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 July 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

These documents, which are not prior art, were mentioned to Mr. Kupstas: U.S. Patents 6723351, 6770304, 6855339, 6884439, 6861076, 6982096, 7205001.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Kupstas on 6/27/2007 to raise the possibility that the above mentioned patents raise Interference issues. On 7/2/2007, Mr. Kupstas stated that he did not agree that the aforementioned patents raise Interference issues. The Examiner stated that he would have to review the issues in this case and possibly set up an Interference OR issue an Office action..